www.nature.com/leu Leukemia

# **CORRESPONDENCE** OPEN

Check for updates

**ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA** 

Optical Genome Mapping in MDS and AML as tool for structural variant profiling—comment and data update on Yang et al.: "High-resolution structural variant profiling of myelodysplastic syndromes by optical genome mapping uncovers cryptic aberrations of prognostic and therapeutic significance"

© The Author(s) 2022

Leukemia (2023) 37:248–249; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-022-01763-2

### TO THE EDITOR:

Yang et al. recently published their work entitled "High-resolution structural variant profiling of myelodysplastic syndromes by optical genome mapping uncovers cryptic aberrations of prognostic and therapeutic significance" in leukemia [1]. The authors describe a high concordance in 96/99 cases of detected structural variants by routine diagnostics, most importantly chromosomal banding analysis (CBA), and optical genome mapping (OGM) as a whole genome method for structural variant profiling (SVP). More importantly, in 34% of the 101 patients included in the analysis, cryptic variants could be clarified and the risk score according to R-IPSS was changed by additional information obtained by OGM in 17% of patients.

These results and the approach chosen are well in line with the data published earlier this year by our group [2]. Although focusing on acute myeloid leukemia (AML), we also included a subgroup of six myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients, three of them with excessive blasts I and three with excessive blasts II, or MDS/AML according to the recently updated international consensus classification [3]. Furthermore, 8 of 21 AML patients had myelodysplasia-related changes.

Currently, and updating our previous data, our cohort consists of 42 patients (35 AML and 7 MDS, Table 1). Of 42 patients, a conventional diagnostic approach by cytogenetics (CBA; fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and Copy-number variation (CNV)-microarrays where indicated) was able to detect variants in 26 cases. All but three of these variants could also be seen by OGM, the latter below the applied threshold of 5% variant allele frequency (VAF) and in high-repetitive regions such as around telomers and centromeres [4]. In 27 cases (64%), we could clarify or add additional information by using OGM as a non-DNA dependent amplification based whole genome method. For MDS patients this number was similar with 4/7 cases, where the karyotype could be redefined by utilizing OGM (Table 2).

Based on these data, we recently proposed a next-generation work up applying targeted sequencing and a cytogenomics or

structural variant profiling approach [5]. This approach does not only identify single nucleotide variants addressable for risk stratification or for targeted therapy such as *NPM1*, *IDH1*, or *IDH2*. It gives a detailed picture of structural variants by OGM as a single method, allowing to further refine risk stratification and subsequently personalize treatment. Furthermore, this unbiased method with a limited need for time-consuming data interpretation helps discover so far unknown structural variants. In an exemplary case, we were able to identify a *DDX3X::MLLT10* fusion which might play a causative role in the development of this AML. Additionally, the combination of methods uncovered a genetically biphenotypic disease, which was classified as AML with recurrent genetic aberrations by standard diagnostic procedures [5].

**Table 1.** Clinical characteristics of the study population.

Gender	
Female	18
Male	24
Age median/years (range)	61 (21–75)
Diagnosis	
AML (n)	23
AML from prior MDS /AML-MRC (n)	12
MDS (n)	7
Risk classification	
ELN 2017	
Good (n)	6
Intermediate (n)	13
Poor (n)	15
IPSS-Score	
Very high (n)	3
High (n)	3
Intermediate (n)	1

Due to the inclusion prior to the novel world health organization (WHO) classification and European Leukemia Net (ELN) risk groups, here WHO2016 and ELN 2017 classifications are still used.

Received: 19 October 2022 Revised: 3 November 2022 Accepted: 9 November 2022

Published online: 24 November 2022

**Table 2.** Conventional diagnostics included karyotyping, RT-PCR Panel diagnostics, FISH, and CNV microarray, the latter two as indicated by the treating physician.

Diagnosis (n)	Findings through conventional diagnostics (%/cases)	Findings through OGM (%/cases)	Redefinition of karyotype through OGM (%/cases)
AML	61%	87%	70%
AML-MRC	83%	92%	58%
MDS	86%	86%	57%
Total	71%	88%	64%

OGM not only detected relevant structural variants in more cases than conventional diagnostics, but also detected additional variants in 27 cases, leading to clarification and redefinition of the karyotype.

We want to thank Yang and colleagues for analyzing this remarkable single-center cohort and adding evidence to our previous work. We think optical genome mapping can be of relevant diagnostic importance not only in MDS and AML patients but also in a variety of hematological malignancies [6, 7].

Deepak B. Vangala o 1<sup>∞</sup>, Verena Nilius-Eliliwi<sup>1</sup>, Wanda M. Gerding o<sup>2</sup>, Roland Schroers o<sup>1</sup> and Huu Phuc Nguyen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Medicine, Center for Hemato-Oncological Diseases, University Hospital Knappschaftskrankenhaus, Ruhr-University, Bochum, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Human Genetics, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany. <sup>⊠</sup>email: deepak.vangala@rub.de

#### **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The initial OGM output data and further supporting data are available under <a href="http://zenodo.org">http://zenodo.org</a> under the accession number 5602040. Further OGM output and raw data supporting this work are available from the corresponding author upon request.

## REFERENCES

- Yang H, Garcia-Manero G, Sasaki K, Montalban-Bravo G, Tang Z, Wei Y, et al. Highresolution structural variant profiling of myelodysplastic syndromes by optical genome mapping uncovers cryptic aberrations of prognostic and therapeutic significance. Leukemia. 2022;36:2306–16.
- Gerding WM, Tembrink M, Nilius-Eliliwi V, Mika T, Dimopoulos F, Ladigan-Badura S, et al. Optical genome mapping reveals additional prognostic information compared to conventional cytogenetics in AML/MDS patients. Int J Cancer. 2022;384:924–35.
- Arber DA, Hasserjian RP, Orazi A, Mathews V, Roberts AW, Schiffer CA, et al. Classification of myeloid neoplasms/acute leukemia: global perspectives and the international consensus classification approach. Am J Hematol. 2022;97:514–8.
- Bionano Genomics. Bionano Solve Theory of Operation: Structural Variant Calling. 2021.
- Nilius-Eliliwi V, Tembrink M, Gerding WM, Lubieniecki KP, Lubieniecka JM, Kankel S, et al. Broad genomic workup including optical genome mapping uncovers a DDX3X: MLLT10 gene fusion in acute myeloid leukemia. Front Oncol. 2022;12:959243.
- Neveling K, Mantere T, Vermeulen S, Oorsprong M, van Beek R, Kater-Baats E, et al. Next-generation cytogenetics: Comprehensive assessment of 52 hematological malignancy genomes by optical genome mapping. Am J Hum Genet. 2021;108:1423–35.
- Lühmann JL, Stelter M, Wolter M, Kater J, Lentes J, Bergmann AK, et al. The clinical utility of optical genome mapping for the assessment of genomic aberrations in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancers*. 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers13174388.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DBV and HPN designed the study. DBV, VN-E, and RS collected patient samples after obtaining informed consent. VN-E, WMG, and HPN were responsible for OGM analysis. Data interpretation was performed by WMG, DBV, and VN-E. DBV and VN-E drafted the manuscript which was approved by all authors.

### **FUNDING**

VN-E was supported by the FORUM Female Clinician Scientist program of Ruhr-University Bochum, Grant number K147-21. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

DBV received speaker's honoraria from Roche, BMS, Pfizer, and Falk foundation, consultant's honoraria from Pfizer, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Gilead and travel support and congress registration fees from Gilead, Celgene, and Abbvie. VN-E received travel support and congress registration fees from Jazz Pharmaceuticals. The other authors do not report any conflicts of interest.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Deepak B. Vangala.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022