

EDITORIAL



Ocular oncology demystified

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Royal College of Ophthalmologists 2022

Eye (2023) 37:795–796; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02343-5>

Ocular oncology occupies a unique position in ophthalmology. Not only are these conditions sight threatening, but they are also capable of eye loss, and many have the potential to shorten life. This issue, borne out of the symposium “Ocular Oncology Demystified” at The Royal College of Ophthalmologists, is dedicated to our late colleagues, Victoria Cohen, FRCOphth and John Hungerford, FRCS, FRCOphth.

The topics covered encompass the frequently encountered and the rare. The assessment of choroidal naevi, the commonest intraocular tumour seen in adults needs to be differentiated from small melanomas using imaging rather than tissue biopsy. DiSimone et al. show that general ophthalmologists in the USA underutilize imaging modalities that are required in the TFSOM-DIM system for assessing such lesions [1]. The alternative MOLES system devised by Damato, does not require ultrasound and its rationale is reviewed for estimating the likelihood of malignancy in choroidal melanocytic tumours, allowing community practitioners to manage or refer such lesions appropriately [2]. These are important considerations in setting up virtual clinics [3] and for artificial intelligence algorithms that may in the future detect and refer to ocular oncologists only the lesions that are likely to need treatment.

Such is the utility of ocular imaging in choroidal tumours that a biopsy (trans-vitreous or trans-scleral) is seldom required for diagnosis, but more commonly performed for prognostic information in uveal melanomas [4, 5]. Counselling patients regarding the benefits versus risks on an individual basis is important. On the horizon there are targeted treatments based on testing of uveal melanomas, and liquid biopsies may also make it easier to deliver personalized care.

Current treatments for uveal melanoma include plaque brachytherapy and proton beam radiotherapy. In the UK, the Clatterbridge cyclotron treated 1084 eyes with uveal melanoma over a 10-year period, referred from the 4 national centres for ocular oncology [6]. Indications for proton beam over ruthenium plaque radiotherapy included poorly accessible small posterior tumours and large anterior tumours. Tumour control was up to 93%. In another study on ruthenium plaque radiotherapy for posterior melanomas, final visual acuity was $\geq 6/12$ in 44%, with vision being dependent on development of radiation macular oedema [7]. The main therapy for the latter is by anti-VEGF injections, given either prophylactically in high-risk cases or once the vasculopathy develops [8]. Emotional distress after diagnosis of melanomas is related to physical factors, such as poor visual outcome, younger age at diagnosis, and psychological factors [9]. The latter includes the fear of systemic relapse, though there is widespread variability in the modality and frequency of imaging for distant (liver) relapse [10].



Ocular surface tumours comprise benign and malignant lesions, but the caruncle is often overlooked. We are reminded that this tissue, which is derived from the lower eyelid rather than the conjunctiva, can manifest cancers such as lymphoma, basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, sebaceous carcinoma and melanoma [11]. Differentiating some types of conjunctival neoplasm is now possible with imaging, with the relishing prospect of the *in vivo* biopsy. Sripawadkul and colleagues found that using anterior segment optical coherence tomography, conjunctival papilloma, compared to ocular surface squamous neoplasia, had a thicker epithelial layer with an edge overhanging normal epithelium, corrugated epithelial surface, intrinsic spaces and posterior shadowing [12, 13]. In contrast, conjunctival lymphoma, which is an extranodal mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue low-grade cancer, requires a tissue diagnosis for histopathological, cytogenetic and molecular features [14].

Oral nicotinamide is a form of Vitamin B3, available in food or in supplements. It has a beneficial effect on UV-induced immunosuppression of the skin, and hence in some high-risk individuals there may be a role in the management of actinic keratoses and non-melanoma skin cancers [15].

There is overlap between orbital surgery and ocular oncology. Lacrimal gland lesions are relatively common and Awotesu et al. describe their experience of performing lacrimal gland biopsies in 248 patients during a 21-year period in a tertiary referral centre. Chronic inflammation (69%) lymphoma (15%), adenocarcinoma (4%) and pleomorphic adenoma (2%) were the histopathological diagnoses [16]. Other rare orbital tumours include solitary fibrous tumours which occur mainly in the middle aged. Though usually benign and locally infiltrative, rarer malignant forms can develop and metastasize [17]. Orbital tumours in the paediatric age group are uncommon, and Keren et al. describe a series of paediatric orbital lymphomas [18].

Paediatric ocular oncology is focused on retinoblastoma. Shields et al. conducted a wide-ranging review with the latest ideas on genetics, global burden of disease, chemotherapy outcomes and psychological impact [19]. As globe salvage becomes a reality for many patients with intraocular retinoblastoma, attention needs to be paid to the visual outcomes of treatment [20]. Apart from retinoblastoma, medulloepithelioma is a very rare intraocular tumour of children, that can be teratoid or non-teratoid and benign or malignant. In high-risk cases after enucleation, features of malignancy prompted adjuvant chemotherapy to prevent metastasis [21].

We have stood on the shoulders of giants to scan the horizons of our subject. There is much that has been learned in ocular oncology, but even more that needs to be explored and researched. Jeanon et al. report a case of a medial orbital basal cell carcinoma that had globe sparing surgery to remove the tumour using robotically-assisted wide local excision [22]. This may well pave the way for future directions in ocular cancer surgery.

Vikas Chadha ¹✉ and Mandeep S Sagoo ²✉
¹Scottish Ocular Oncology Service, Tennent Institute of
 Ophthalmology, Gartnavel General Hospital, Glasgow, UK. ²Ocular
 Oncology Service, Moorfields Eye Hospital; Retinoblastoma Service,
 The Royal London Hospital; and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for
 Ophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital and UCL Institute of
 Ophthalmology, London, UK. ✉email: vchdh@aol.com;
 m.sagoo@ucl.ac.uk

REFERENCES

- DeSimone JD, Dockery PW, Kreincoes JB, Soares RR, Shields CL. Survey of ophthalmic imaging use to assess risk of progression of choroidal nevus to melanoma. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02110-6>
- Damato BE. Can the MOLES acronym and scoring system improve the management of patients with melanocytic choroidal tumours? *Eye*. 2022:1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02143-x>
- Younus O, Gillam M, Cauchi P, Chadha V, Connolly J. Real world outcomes of a virtual ocular oncology service in Scotland. *Eye*. 2022:1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02084-5>
- Hussain RN, Damato B, Heimann, H. Choroidal biopsies; a review and optimised approach. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02194-0>
- Haider, S, Azam, T, Leandro, G, Heinrich H, Rumana H Evaluation of optical coherence tomography (OCT) changes following transretinal tumour biopsy for choroidal melanoma. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02185-1>
- Hussain RN, Chiu A, Pittam B, Taktak A, Damato BE, Kacperek A, et al. Proton beam radiotherapy for choroidal and ciliary body melanoma in the UK—national audit of referral patterns of 1084 cases. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02178-0>
- O'day RFJ, Roelofs KA, Negretti GS, Hay G, Arora AK, Stoker I, et al. Long-term visual outcomes after ruthenium plaque brachytherapy for posterior choroidal melanoma. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-01944-4>
- Powell BE, Chin KJ, Finger PT. Early anti-VEGF treatment for radiation maculopathy and optic neuropathy: lessons learned. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02200-5>
- Davies C, Brown SL, Fisher P, Hope-Stone L, Fisher D, Morgan A, et al. Predictors of emotional distress in uveal melanoma survivors: a systematic review. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02193-1>
- Chadha V, Cauchi P, Kincaid W, Waterston A, Schipani S, Salvi S, et al. Consensus statement for metastatic surveillance of uveal melanoma in Scotland. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02198-w>
- Gounder P, Selva D, Rajak SN. Malignant lesions of the caruncle. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02124-0>
- Sripawadkul W, Khzam RA, Tang V, Zein M, Dubovy SR, Galor A, et al. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography characteristics of conjunctival papilloma as compared to papilliform ocular surface squamous neoplasia. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02309-7>
- Sripawadkul W, Khzam RA, Tang V, Zein M, Dubovy SR, Galor A, et al. Conjunctival papilloma treatment outcomes: a 12-year-retrospective study. *Eye*. 2022 (In press)
- McGrath LA, Ryan DA, Warriar SK, Coupland SE, Glasson WJ Conjunctival Lymphoma. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02176-2>
- Hunt SV, Jamison A, Malhotra R. Oral nicotinamide for non-melanoma skin cancers: a review. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02036-z>
- Awotesu et al. Lacrimal gland biopsies -Results from a tertiary centre in the UK. *Eye*. 2022 (In press).
- René C, Scollo P, O'Donovan D. A review of solitary fibrous tumours of the orbit and ocular adnexa. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02160-w>
- Keren S, Lapira M, McCallum E, Sipkova Z, Qureshi A, Wilson S, et al. Paediatric orbital lymphoma; a case series and review of the literature. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02266-1>
- Shields CL, Bas Z, Laiton A, Silva A, Sheikh A, Lally SE, et al. Retinoblastoma: emerging concepts in genetics, global disease burden, chemotherapy outcomes, and psychological impact. *Eye*. 2022:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-01980-0>
- Warda O, Naeem Z, Roelofs KA, Sagoo MS, Reddy MA. Retinoblastoma and vision. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-021-01845-y>
- Sheriff IHN, Karaa EK, Chowdhury T, Scheimberg I, Duncan C, Reddy MA, et al. Systemic adjuvant chemotherapy for advanced malignant ocular medulloepithelioma. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-01936-4>
- Jeannon JP, Faulkner J, Uddin J, Daniel C, Arora A. Robotic assisted orbital surgery (RAOS)—a novel approach to orbital oncology surgery. *Eye*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02125-z>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors contributed equally and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Vikas Chadha or Mandeep S. Sagoo.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.