



# Area of residence and hypertension risk: need more evidence from low- and middle-income countries

Rajat Das Gupta<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords** Hypertension Risk · Area of Residence · Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Received: 13 March 2023 / Accepted: 24 March 2023 / Published online: 7 April 2023  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Japanese Society of Hypertension 2023

Sharma et al. aimed to determine the association between residential characteristics and hypertension in Nepalese population aged  $\geq 15$  years [1]. The authors used nationally representative sample of the Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2016. The authors developed an area deprivation (AD) index (52–146; mean  $\pm$  standard deviation:  $100 \pm 20$ ) using 15-item inventory [2, 3]. The authors divided the AD index into quartiles: least deprived areas/quartile 1, less deprived areas/quartile 2, moderate deprived areas/quartile 3, and highest deprived areas/quartile 4. After adjusting for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, education, food insecurity, and body mass index (BMI), AD index was found to be significantly associated with hypertension among the study participants. Compared to residents in the highest deprived areas, the residents of least deprived, less deprived, and moderately deprived areas had higher odds of hypertension. A significant interaction was found between AD index and receiving formal education, although the direction of risk for moderate deprived and least deprived areas were in inverse direction.

The reason of higher odds of hypertension in the least to moderate deprived areas compared to highest deprived areas is probably related to wealth index. In low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) like Nepal, the prevalence of non-communicable diseases including hypertension and overweight and obesity is higher among the higher wealth quintiles [4]. Similar findings were observed in neighboring India [5] and Bangladesh [6].

Opposite phenomenon has been observed in upper-income countries. In USA, the odds of hypertension increased with increasing AD index [7, 8]. Similar pattern of association was observed in Germany [9]. This might be due to higher prevalence of obesity and diabetes in the highest deprived areas in these upper-income nations [10].

An interaction between literacy and AD index was observed. In highly deprived areas, individuals with formal education had higher odds of hypertension compared to those who did not have any formal education. Opposite was observed in the less deprived area. The authors mentioned that this might be due to the ‘local social inequality model’. During the analysis, the authors combined all the categories of formal education as one single category named ‘literate’ (i.e.: having formal education). The dose-response relationship between the categories of education and AD index with hypertension was not explored, which should be a focus of future studies. Since there is a scarcity of evidence from the LMICs, further evidence is warranted to understand the association between AD index and hypertension.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The author declares no competing interests.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

1. Shamra I, Campbell MK, Choi Y, Luginaah I, Mulimba J, Stranges S. Does the place of residence influence your risk of being hypertensive? A study based on Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. *Hypertension Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-023-01217-x>.
2. Beavers AS, Lounsbury JW, Richards, JK, Huck, SW, Skolits, GJ. Practical Considerations for using exploratory factor analysis in educational research. *practical assessment, research, and evaluation*. 2013;18:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.7275/qv2q-rk76>.

✉ Rajat Das Gupta  
rajatdas@email.sc.edu

<sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

3. Yao Y, Cao K, Zhang K, Zhu T, Yue D, Zhang H, et al. Residential proximity to major roadways and prevalent hypertension among older women and men: results from the Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2020;7:587222. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FCVM.2020.587222>.
4. das Gupta R, Talukder A, Haider SS, al Kibria GM. Determinants of hypertension in Nepal using odds ratios and prevalence ratios: an analysis of the Demographic and Health Survey 2016. *J Biosoc Sci.* 2021;53:522–30. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932020000346>.
5. Abariga SA, Khachan H, al Kibria GM. Prevalence and Determinants of Hypertension in India Based on the 2017 ACC/AHA Guideline: Evidence from the India National Family Health Survey. *Am J Hypertens.* 2020;33:252–60. <https://doi.org/10.1093/AJH/HPZ181>.
6. al Kibria GM, Gupta R, das, Nayeem J. Prevalence, awareness, and control of hypertension among Bangladeshi adults: an analysis of demographic and health survey 2017–18. *Clin Hypertens.* 2021; 27:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S40885-021-00174-2/TABLES/5>.
7. Xu J, Lawrence KG, O'Brien KM, Jackson CL, Sandler DP. Association between neighbourhood deprivation and hypertension in a US-wide Cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2022;76:268–73. <https://doi.org/10.1136/JECH-2021-216445>.
8. Keita AD, Judd SE, Howard VJ, Carson AP, Ard JD, Fernandez JR. Associations of neighborhood area level deprivation with the metabolic syndrome and inflammation among middle-And older-Age adults. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;14:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1319/TABLES/2>.
9. Kauh B, Maier W, Schweikart J, Keste A, Moskwyn M. Exploring the small-scale spatial distribution of hypertension and its association to area deprivation based on health insurance claims in Northeastern Germany. *BMC Public Health.* 2018;18:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12889-017-5017-X/FIGURES/3>.
10. Hu MD, Lawrence KG, Bodkin MR, Kwok RK, Engel LS, Sandler DP. Neighborhood deprivation, obesity, and diabetes in residents of the US Gulf Coast. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2021; 190:295–304. <https://doi.org/10.1093/AJE/KWAA206>.