# Hypertension paradox in Japan: the road ahead 
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More than 40 million people are estimated to have hypertension in Japan, constituting one of the principal factors that influence both total and healthy life expectancy [1]. Epidemiological studies involving Japanese individuals have demonstrated a positive association between blood pressure (BP) categories and the risk of cardiovascular diseases, with the relationship being more evident in younger age groups [2]. As one of the landmark clinical trials, the Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial found that the primary outcome, composed of myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, stroke, heart failure, and death from cardiovascular causes, occurred significantly less in the intensive target BP group than the standard target BP group with the hazard ratio of 0.75 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval, 0.64-0.89) [3], demonstrating the importance of tight BP control. Consistently, a meta-analysis of 19 studies enrolling 55,529 participants indicated that the intensive BP lowering (targeting $<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) was associated with reduced cardiovascular events [4]. Moreover, the Strategy of Blood Pressure Intervention in the Elderly Hypertensive Patients study has also shown that the primary outcome (a composite of stroke, acute coronary syndrome, acute decompensated heart failure, coronary revascularization, atrial fibrillation, and death from cardiovascular causes) occurred less in the intensive treatment group (a target systolic BP of 110 to $<130 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) than the standard treatment group (a target systolic BP of 130 to $<150$ ) [5].

All the above data indicate that strict BP control contributes to the reduction in the future occurrence of cardiovascular diseases in hypertensive patients. Accordingly, the current guideline for the management of hypertension in

[^0]Japan (JSH 2019) sets the target levels of BP control at $<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$, except in individuals with $>75$ years, having cerebrovascular disease with bilateral carotid artery stenosis and cerebral main artery occlusion, or having chronic kidney disease (CKD) without proteinuria [6]. However, tightening the BP control can be associated with unintended responses, such as electrolyte abnormalities, hypotension, and acute kidney injury, in a subpopulation of subjects [3,5], which can potentially increase the difficulty of intensive BP lowering, resulting in a gap between guidelines and actual clinical practice.

The study by Kobayashi et al. [7] analyzed the current situation of BP management in hypertensive patients in Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan. The study was performed by the Kanagawa Physicians Association in collaboration with the Japan Medical Association Database of Clinical Medicine, which is the registry that includes nearly 20,000 patients with hypertension or diabetes mellitus (DM). In this study, the data of 835 patients in Kanagawa in 2021 were compared with the cross-sectional data obtained in 2011 and 2014 in the same region [8, 9]. The study found that the average office systolic BP levels in the study participants were $136.5 \pm 17.4 \mathrm{mmHg}$, which was significantly higher than those in 2011 or 2014 survey even after adjustment with covariates. In contrast, home systolic BP was slightly lower ( $128.8 \pm 8.1 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) than in previous surveys, which indicates that the difference between home and office BP levels was increased. The subgroup analysis by the comorbid condition revealed that the difference between the two BP measurements was more pronounced in patients without DM (home systolic BP, $128.8 \pm 11.4 \mathrm{mmHg}$; office systolic BP, $141.1 \pm 18.3 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) than those with DM (home systolic BP, $127.5 \pm 9.3 \mathrm{mmHg}$; office systolic BP, $133.9 \pm 16.4 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) (Fig. 1).

Besides the changes in BP values, the authors also analyzed the achievement rates of target BPs. Overall, the achievement rate for the target home BP of $<135 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ was $74.4 \%$ in the 2021 study, which was numerically higher


Fig. 1 Achievement rates of target home blood pressure in hypertensive patients. Adapted from Kobayashi et al. [7]
than the 2014 study ( $66.8 \%$ ) and the 2011 study ( $71.3 \%$ ). However, the achievement rate of target office BP of $<140$ / 90 mmHg was $59.8 \%$, which was lower than that in the 2014 study ( $67.9 \%$ ) and in the 2011 study ( $72.2 \%$ ). In the subgroup analysis for those with DM, cardiovascular disease, CKD, or $<75$ years of age, the achievement rates of target home and office BP ( $<125 / 75 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for home BP and $<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for office BP) were low at $19.1 \%$ and $30.4 \%$, respectively, which was not improved from the previous surveys. For those with the target office BP values of $<140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, the achievement rate decreased from $60 \sim 70 \%$ to $46.7 \%$ in the 2021 survey; however, more than $80 \%$ of patients had controlled home BP levels (<135/ 85 mmHg ) in this population.

As correctly noted by the authors, the data in 2011, 2014, and 2021 were taken independently and the patient characteristics were considerably different, which constitutes a potential limitation of the study. For example, compared with the 2011 or 2014 surveys, the 2021 survey included a higher proportion of diabetic patients ( $64 \%$ as compared with 18 to $20 \%$ ). Also, the number of patients with the target office BP value of <140/90 was relatively small in the 2021 survey compared with the previous surveys. Despite this, the data by Kobayashi et al. provide up-to-date information regarding BP control in real-world hypertensive patients and point to the presence of the evidence practice gap in this field. A clear message is that the achievement
rate of target BP control in hypertensive patients with DM continues to be low, which needs to be improved to prevent the occurrence of end-organ damage. With the advent of the sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor and the nonsteroidal mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, there has been a marked improvement in the treatment of diabetic kidney disease patients [10-12]; nonetheless, the importance of the strict BP control in these patients should not be underestimated. In the FIDELIO-DKD cohort, there was a positive relationship between time-varying office systolic BP levels (ranging from 120 to 160 mmHg ) and the risk of primary kidney composite outcome in both treatment groups [13]. Also, the target BP levels for a majority of hypertensive patients have been tightened with the revision of the Japanese guideline in 2019, which may need to be recognized more widely.

Finally, the study showed that there was a significant increase in the proportion of white-coat hypertension in the 2021 survey. It is likely that this finding reflects the fact that the home BP values were given priority to guide treatment in the JSH 2019. Although there is no definitive evidence that white-coat hypertension is the risk factor for the occurrence of cardiovascular diseases, a careful follow-up is recommended since a subpopulation of patients can develop sustained hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy [14, 15]. In one study, there was a positive association of the white-coat effect with BP response to stress, and also with the left
ventricular mass in male untreated hypertensive patients [16]. These patients also showed high ambulatory BP, suggesting that influential factors for the out-of-office BP, such as work stress, can be involved in the latter association. The advancement in wearable monitoring devices that enable the collection of acute BP changes in daily life will greatly facilitate the research to further clarify the clinical significance of BP variations in different situations [17]. In summary, the data by Kobayashi et al. [7] describe the remaining challenges to conquer the hypertension paradox [18] and to reduce the number of patients with uncontrolled hypertension in Japan.
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