

Author Correction: Assessing progress towards sustainable development over space and time

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03479-2>

Correction to: *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1846-3>

Published online 01 January 2020

 Check for updates

Zhenci Xu, Sophia N. Chau, Xiuzhi Chen, Jian Zhang, Yingjie Li,
Thomas Dietz, Jinyan Wang, Julie A. Winkler, Fan Fan,
Baorong Huang, Shuxin Li, Shaohua Wu, Anna Herzberger,
Ying Tang, Dequ Hong, Yunkai Li & Jianguo Liu

In this Article, there were several minor errors to the main text and Supplementary Information, as detailed below. In the sentence “The change in SDG Index score among provinces from 2000 to 2015 ranged from a 11.1% increase (**Shandong**) to a 51.8% increase (Ningxia).”, ‘Shandong’ was incorrectly shown as ‘Shanghai’. In the sentence “The three SDGs that improved the most, in order of greatest to least improvement, were SDG 9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure), SDG 10 (reduced inequalities), and SDG 17 (**partnerships for the goals**).”, ‘partnerships for the goals’ was incorrectly shown as ‘affordable and clean energy’.

In the Methods, ‘for SDG scores’ should be removed from the heading ‘Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis for SDG scores’. In this paragraph, the asterisk should be removed from the following equation so it appears as:

$$C_n^m = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}$$

Instead of:

$$C_n^m = \frac{n!}{m!*(n-m)!}$$

In the Methods paragraph starting “We also ran a sensitivity analysis^{37...}”, seven occurrences of ‘SDG scores’ should have been ‘SDG **Index** scores’. Similarly, in the legend to Extended Data Fig. 3, four occurrences of ‘SDG scores’ should have been ‘SDG **Index** scores’. In the Supplementary Information, several minor changes have been made, including changing “smaller (larger)” to “larger (smaller)”; replacing “Shanxi” with “Jiangxi”; and replacing “the coefficients” with “the **multiple regression** coefficients”. The original Article has been corrected online.