

**Figure 7 legend, remove:** “LWT1 (B-RAF mutant) melanoma (b)” and “(f) Metastatic burden (vertical axis) in the lungs of *Cish*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice 13 d following B16F10 melanoma injection. On days 0, 3 and 6 relative to tumor inoculation, mice received either control Ig or combination anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 antibodies.”

**Figure 7 legend, edit to read:** “(a, b)” and “(a–d, mean and s.e.m. ... of indicated *n*). \**P* > 0.05 and \*\*\**P* > 0.0001 (Mann-Witney *U* test (a–c) or unpaired Student’s *t* test (d)), and “(c) .... antibodies on days –1, 0 and days 6 or 7 relative to B16F10 melanoma injection.”

**Page 821, right-hand column, remove:** “Injection of *Cish*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice with a melanoma cell line expressing a mutated form of the serine-threonine kinase *braf*(LWT1 BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>)<sup>23</sup> also resulted in significantly reduced lung metastases in *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 7b).”

**Page 821, 822, remove:** “Combination immunotherapy using antibodies to PD-1 and CTLA4 is currently one of the most effective treatments against advanced melanoma<sup>24,25</sup>. To compare this benchmark immunotherapy with *Cish* deletion, we injected *Cish*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice with a high dose of B16F10 melanoma (to elicit both an NK cell and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response) and treated them with a clg or a combination of anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4. Anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 treatment significantly reduced melanoma metastases when compared with clg in *Cish*<sup>+/+</sup> mice, but this was inferior to the protection afforded by *Cish* deletion alone (*Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice + clg; Fig. 7f). Notably, *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice treated with anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 developed even fewer metastases than *Cish*<sup>-/-</sup> mice treated with clg (Fig. 7f), highlighting the potential therapeutic benefit that could be achieved if anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 therapy was combined with loss of CIS function.”

**Page 823, last Discussion paragraph, remove:** “...and showing greater efficacy than that observed with CTLA-4–PD-1 blockade.”

**Online Methods, “Experimental tumor metastasis” section, replace as follows:** “Single-cell suspensions of B16F10 melanoma or RM-1 prostate carcinoma cells were injected i.v. into the tail vein of the indicated strains of mice (2.0–2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mouse). Some mice also received either control Ig (50 or 250 μg i.p.; clg, 2A3), 100 μg anti-CD8β (53.5.8) to deplete CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, 50 μg anti-asialoGM1 to deplete NK cells, or 250 μg anti-mIFN-γ (H22) to neutralize IFN-γ, as previously described<sup>33,61</sup>, on days –1, 0 and either day 6 or day 7, relative to tumor inoculation (day 0). Lungs were harvested on day 12 or 14 and either fixed in Bouin’s solution and B16F10 metastases counted<sup>55</sup>, or analyzed for NK cell expansion by flow cytometry.”

Further, Jeffrey J. Babon’s first name was misspelled in the original article (Jeffery), as is now updated via this amendment.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2023

## Author Correction: Runx factors launch T cell and innate lymphoid programs via direct and gene network-based mechanisms

Correction to: *Nature Immunology*  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-023-01585-z>,  
published online 10 August 2023.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-023-01716-6>

Published online: 27 November 2023

Boyoung Shin, Wen Zhou, Jue Wang , Fan Gao & Ellen V. Rothenberg 

In the version of the article initially published, the title of Extended Data Fig. 9 was incorrect and has been updated to “Distinct associations of transcriptional regulatory function with different groups of Runx binding sites” in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2023